

Pramatha Chaudhuri's Birbaler Halkhata: Satire, Society, and the Modern Bengali Intellect

1. Naorin Afroz

Lecturer, Department of Economics, Dhaka International University. naorinafroz.civil@diu.ac

2. Md. Fazlul Haque

Associate Professor, Department of Political Science, Dhaka International University.
fhaquesw@gmail.com

3. Most. Sharmin Akter Suborna

Post Graduate, Department of Bangla Jagannath University. subornasharmin.jnu@gamil.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23403646

Abstract

Pramatha Chaudhuri, a critic, author, editor and inventor of an original literary language, is a remarkable figure in the annals of modern Bengali literature. Chaudhuri wrote under the pen name 'Birbal' and developed a style of literature characterised by intellectual independence, conversational fluency, humour, sarcasm and social criticism. His famous anthology, Birbaler Halkhata, is an important commentary on the intellectual and social condition of colonial Bengal, and marks a crucial stage in the growth of contemporary Bengali literature. Chaudhuri does not take a moralistic tone in his social criticism. He uses wit and sarcasm to reveal hypocrisy, intellectual stagnation, superficiality, naive mimicry and the inconsistencies of a society split between old rules and current principles. This paper takes an example of literary modernism Birbaler Halkhata and studies its satirical devices, its representation of Bengali culture, its criticism of conventional norms and its significance in modernising Bengali consciousness. The study argues that Chaudhuri's satire is entertaining to the readers and at the same time, it is an intellectual tool that challenges the conventional ideas and helps the readers to build their own opinions. His contribution to Bengali literary culture has been to struggle against the over-Sanskritization of literature and to advocate a more colloquial, organic and flexible mode of expression. Thus, Birbaler Halkhata is not just a collection of comic literature but also an important object of Bengali intellectual modernism.

Keywords: Pramatha Chaudhuri, Birbaler Halkhata, Birbal, satire, Bengali prose, modernity, colonial Bengal, social criticism, intellectual culture, Chalita Bhasha

Article Information

Article Type:
Research Article

Received:
01 September
2026

Accepted:
15 September
2026

Published:
15 October 2026

1. INTRODUCTION

The growth of modern Bengali literature was closely linked with a number of major developments in language, education, social organization, intellectual activity and cultural consciousness. During the nineteenth and early twentieth century's, Bengali society was exposed to Western education, colonial institutions, scientific rationality, print culture, reformist ideas, nationalism and new



Copyright © 2026, Authors retain copyright. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> (CC BY 4.0 deed)

literary styles. These developments gave rise to an intellectually complex environment in which traditional ideals and modern desires coexisted in an uneasy way. Thus, besides literary production, Bengali writers were engaged in a constant dialogue on issues of society, language, education, morality, individual freedom and cultural identity. One of the most distinctive literary figures to emerge from this milieu was Pramatha Chaudhuri (1868–1946). He wrote essays, short stories, poems and other literary works. However, he is perhaps best known for his role as an intellectual essayist and stylist. Through his literary personality “Birbal”, playful and serious, amusing and critical, conversational and academically sophisticated, he could create an uncommon voice. Many preconceived ideas which had gotten established in the Bengali literary and social culture were rattled by Chaudhuri's character. In this respect his *Birbaler Halkhata* is especially worthy of mention. The word “Halkhata” literally means an accounting of culture and intelligence. The term is often used to denote the beginning of fresh account books especially around the Bengali fresh Year. Chaudhuri uses this word as the title of a literary anthology, creating an underlying metaphor of social and intellectual appraisal. Birbal's “account” is not just monetary but also a reflection of intellectual activities, social customs, prejudices, beliefs and habits. Satire is the main instrument of this intellectual accounting. Chaudhuri rarely voices his opposition to social issues. Instead he shows up their ridiculousness through contradictions, exaggerations, pretenses and inconsistencies. His wit makes the readers laugh at social conventions and at the same time recognize their own selves in the subjects of his critique. Thus the twofold movement: laughter, then contemplation, is the main feature of his literary method. The study reads *Birbaler Halkhata* as a major text of Bengali literary modernity. The work deals with four interrelated aspects: Chaudhuri's representation and criticism of Bengali society, his challenge to old intellectual attitudes, his use of satire are all significant contributions to the modern Bengali mentality. The study then argues that his philosophical enterprise is essentially based on his language innovation. He favours a more natural and flexible writing style, not only for stylistic reasons, but also for a cultural concern for accessibility, individuality, and the present consciousness.

2. Pramatha Chaudhuri and the Emergence of the Modern Bengali Essay

Pramatha Chaudhuri arrived at a time of great changes in Bengali literature. The prose of the early nineteenth century was typically characterized by a strict grammatical structure, a rich Sanskritized vocabulary, and a lofty literary style. This writing was helpful for the progress of Bengali literature but it could not adjust itself with the changing intellectual and social surroundings. It could not provide a proper literary language. Chaudhuri was a leading champion of *Chalita Bhasha* or colloquial/natural Bengali. His engagement was not only on words. It was part of a larger effort to construct a literary style that could capture the rhythms of modern thought. Chaudhuri believed that language was important to intellectual emancipation. A literary language that is rigorous may encourage inflexibility of thought, and a literary style that allows for inquiry, irony, conversation, and personal expression may discourage it. He had a profound impact on its growth with his administration of *Sabuj Patra* launched in 1914. The publication was associated with a new literary consciousness that resisted the customary conventions and demanded the investigation of literary ideas and forms. Chaudhuri's contributions to the magazine are exemplary of the use of vernacular language to substantiate complex philosophical, social and cultural claims. Thus from this point of view, *Birbaler Halkhata* is important as a literary work as well as an intellectual contribution. The pieces are not merely informative, but offer cultural commentary too. The author regularly moves from philosophical thought to irony, from anecdotal evidence to humor and keen observation in a way that resembles a discussion with the audience. The conversation form helps to break down the traditional wall between the authoritative author and the receptive reader. Thus, the essay becomes a mental discourse. Chaudhuri does not always provide definitive answers. He urges the audience,

however, to question their assumptions. This quality makes his writings particularly important for the creation of a modern intellectual culture based on independent thinking and skepticism.

3. The Meaning of “Birbal”: Literary Persona and Satirical Distance

The name ‘Birbal’ is an integral part of Chaudhuri’s technique of writing. The name evokes the famous Mughal courtier Birbal, famed for his wit, humor and sagacious observations. In this classification Chaudhuri creates a literary self, capable of viewing society from a more or less detached standpoint. This is a crucial difference, as satire demands a degree of separation between the artist and the subject. The satirist is a member of society, at a distance to see its paradoxes. Birbal’s endeavor is well defined in scope. He is not a detached moralist criticizing society. A very acute observer, sensitive to the vicissitudes of daily life. His position enables Chaudhuri to temper potentially harsh criticism with comedy. When a societal standard is broken in an overt way, people can become defensive. Satire can overcome this difficulty, because it is able to provide critique in a hilarious manner. The crowd laughs, then thinks. This is the more successful way since Chaudhuri’s comedy is always in the service of his scholarly aims. He often makes fun of the ways people act differently from what they say they believe.

The irony provides a trigger for the readers to explore the difference between appearance and reality. Hence, ‘Birbal’ is not to be taken as a mere façade of undiluted comedy. He is an instrument of the mind. In this persona, Chaudhuri creates a voice that may challenge social conventions without the intransigent attitude of conventional moral scrutiny.

4. Satire as an Instrument of Social Criticism

The conceptual strategy of Halkhata in Birbal is mostly sarcastic. Chaudhuri uses humor to investigate the mundane structures that maintain social standards. He attacks hypocrisy, mimicry, shallow formalism, intellectual laziness, too much respect for convention and the tendency to accept inherited beliefs uncritically. His satire is characterized by indirectness. He uses hyperbole, contrast, irony and humorous observation to tell the audience that a certain activity is ridiculous, but also to show the irrationality of it. Thus the reader is left to make his own deductions. This technique is representative of a very modern idea of intellectual existence. Chaudhuri does not see modernity as the uncritical absorption of Western ideas or the outright rejection of tradition.

What it does require instead is the ability to critically assess traditional and modern claims. This is an important distinction, for colonial Bengal was often caught in a tug of war between independence and imitation. Even if Western education brought new ideas of science, democracy, individualism and reason, the adoption of Western forms could itself become superficial. Chaudhuri’s sarcasm in confronting both uncritical traditionalism and uncritical modernism demonstrates this. His satire, therefore, is bidirectional. It can make fun of fashionable intellectual pretensions. It can poke fun at conservative social conventions. This prevents his writing from becoming a manifesto of one point of view. But that is typical of the cynicism of a free-lance essayist.

5. Social Hypocrisy and the Performance of Respectability

Chaudhuri’s essay returns again and again to the difference between social appearance and authentic behavior. During the colonial period, the middle-class society of Bengal was more concerned with the pursuit of cultural refinement, respectability, prestige and education. But these qualities can be converted into social displays. Chaudhuri’s wit lays bare the theatricality of social life. People may say they are committed to ethical values and then engage in self-serving actions. They may pay lip service to intellectual freedom but they often quash dissent. They may take up modern schooling and still cling to traditional ideas. These differences are ripe for comedy, which points out the precariousness of social identity. He wants to look modern, rational, educated and respected, but he is nevertheless subject to deeply entrenched behavior. Chaudhuri’s critique is not just of individuals but of the larger mentality of society. He examines the fear of being different and the pressure to

conform. The caustic tone makes conformity an intellectual joke, therefore sabotaging this ambition. And comedy is a liberating mechanism here. Mockery undermines social norms. The observer can think of other possibilities.

6. Tradition, Modernity, and the Problem of Imitation

One of the principal philosophical concerns of colonial Bengal was the relationship between modernity and tradition. Western education and colonial institutions profoundly affected Bengali intellectual life. But it was no easy matter to transfer from the 'traditional' to the 'modern'. Rather, Bengali intellectuals were regularly engaged in debates between several systems of value. The articles of Chaudhuri illustrate this complexity. His modernism does not mean that he has given up Bengali culture. Rather he calls for a careful evaluation of transmitted traditions. It is possible to understand this position as separating mechanical convention and living tradition. Custom is confining when blindly followed, yet a living tradition can change in response to changed conditions. It is the second form that is always the target of Chaudhuri's mockery. He has his doubts about traditions that live on. At the same time he is equally fearful of those who adopt Western ways just because they are Western. His literary modernity is thus essentially dialogical. It encourages Bengali readers to have the intellectual courage to evaluate ideas, rather than simply to imitate or inherit them. This particular perspective becomes highly relevant when we think of colonization. Western culture and colonial modernity had an often unstable relationship, since adulation, resistance, imitation and critique were often able to co-exist at the same time. Chaudhuri's humor additionally emphasizes the necessity of individual judgement in negotiating this complexity.

7. The Modern Bengali Intellect

The 'modern Bengali intellect' in this context does not mean simply an educated Bengali culture but also a particular type of intellectual attitude which is marked by scepticism, investigation, rational thinking and independence of judgement. These are the capacities that Chaudhuri's essays intend to augment. He does not see the reader as a passive receptacle of information. Instead he expects the reader to be involved in the procedure of interpretation. He's quite chatty, which is a good thing in a relationship. The author seems to be in a debate of the intellect with the reader. This strategy lowers the vertical distance between author and reader. Chaudhuri is saying that the modern intellect is not necessarily the most learned.

Rather, it's the person who can question preconceptions. The Inquiry is one of the most important elements of Birbaler Halkhata. Chaudhuri asks his readers to challenge the validity of a belief, the reality of a practice, the justification of a social distinction, the acceptance of intellectual authority without examination. His modernity is therefore epistemic and social. What is at issue is not just the content of people's views but also how they come to have such beliefs.

8. Individualism and Intellectual Freedom

Chaudhuri's literary worldview is basically individualistic. His writing is always in defiance of the forces of the group which threaten to stifle individual decision. This is not to say that he advocates selfishness. Instead, his individuality is marked by intellectual autonomy. The person has to be able to think for himself, even if his logic is against the general opinion. It does so by making unthinking obedience ridiculous. Chaudhuri cultivates a mood of rich individual reflection, but enjoys the self-importance of the group. That part of his work also separates him from merely institutional ideas in terms of his modernity. Modernity is not just the consequence of the implementation of contemporary educational institutions, Western fashion, technological breakthroughs, and political systems. Furthermore, it requires a change in the way one thinks. Chaudhuri's is thus an essentially individualist mission in independence. A person can therefore appreciate tradition without being its captive, and can admire contemporary ideas without being their uncritical copyist.

9. Language as an Expression of Modern Consciousness

The linguistic practice of Chaudhuri cannot be divorced from his literary modernism. His championing of Chalita Bhasha was one of his greatest contribution to Bengali writing. Colloquial Bengali is important not only because it is simple. A natural language is more apt to catch the flow of conversation, sarcasm, hesitancy, humor, intellectual spontaneity than a literary register too rigid. This linguistic flexibility is included into the sarcastic strategy of Birbaler Halkhata. Tone is an important role in humor development. Irony in a conversational sentence might result from understatement, sudden shifts in register, or playful excess. The language also creates a feeling of closeness between the writer and the reader. Chaudhuri has the feel of a discussion that is accessible and refined rather than one that speaks from a privileged literary vantage point. This language democratization is part of his intellectual aim. If it is to promote autonomous thought in the reader, the language of modern literature must be alive, must respond to the experience of today. His prose thus symbolizes a cultural and stylistic change.

10. Humour, Irony, and the Ethics of Criticism

What is distinctive about the satire of Chaudhuri is that the humor doesn't always destroy that at which it is aimed. Instead, it erects a barrier. This distinction is important because satire can perform a variety of functions. It can make us think, it can make us change, it can make us condemn or even disgrace us. Chaudhuri's most powerful essays are meditative. Moreover, the reader is asked to recognize the human nature that produced this absurdity, as the target becomes comical. His sarcasm in this aspect is ethical. This is not the author sneering at others. He is suggesting that readers laugh at themselves. Self-directed laughing is a very important factor in the growth of the intellect, and it destroys dogmatism. The person who is able to admit the ridiculousness of his own assumptions is more open to change. Therefore, Chaudhuri's humor is pedagogically significant. It makes you skeptical, but it's not a manual. It facilitates critical thinking via enjoyment.

11. Birbaler Halkhata and the Culture of Print

The relevance of Birbaler Halkhata can also be seen in relation to the burgeoning print culture of colonial Bengal. The new newspapers, journals, and literary magazines, as well as books, created new communities of readers and made circulation of ideas easier. This setting was extremely appropriate for the article. Rather than the long philosophical treatise, the essay could raise current questions in a more popular way. It can combine story, argument, humor, observation and literary style. Cultural periodicals like Sabuj Patra played a crucial role in giving a forum for such innovation. Chaudhuri's work was part of the redefinition of the possibility of literary writing. The modern essay developed into a kind of public intellectual conversation. It could be philosophical without being an academic treatise, it could be social commentary without being serious political journalism, it could be critical and enjoyable at the same time. Birbaler Halkhata is a part of this new literary intellectualism. It marks the Bengali essayist's move to the position of cultural critic.

12. Satire and the Bengali Middle Class

Bengali middle class is the dominant shaping force of Chaudhuri's social criticism. Colonial education and work possibilities gave rise to new middle-class identities, but these identities were accompanied by anxieties about cultural authenticity, morality, education and status. The middle class was often caught in a conflict between traditional social conventions and modern ambitions. In Chaudhuri's satire many of the inconsistencies generated by this state are caught. For example, intellectual sophistication can be a social status symbol rather than a true commitment to critical thought. Education is viewed as a way of getting a job or prestige, rather than as a means of intellectual emancipation. And these are the disparities that Chaudhuri's farce exposes. He encourages readers to distinguish between the visible manifestations of modernity and the inner workings of modern thought. This trait remains in his art. He need not dress up in modernism for his writing. It's a kind of consciousness.

13. Gender, Family, and Social Convention

Birbaler Halkhata is mostly known for its intellectual and social satire, although the broader critical framework can be connected to family, gender and social conventions. The modern Bengali house was an important place where tradition and modernity interacted. The education of women, marriage, the management of the household, and the mores of society became matters of considerable public concern in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Framing these questions is possible within Chaudhuri's larger project of examining conventions. His larger philosophical project shows that social traditions should not be accepted as valid simply because they have a long history. But no rigorous analysis can escape the historical limitations of intellectual discourse in the early twentieth century.

Modernity itself was not free from class, gender, and cultural assumptions. Chaudhuri's individualism and rationalism should therefore be examined within the social context in which they developed. Pramatha Chaudhuri's literary value is very closely associated with his friendship with Rabindranath Tagore. While their techniques and academic interests were not the same, both writers had a part in shaping the culture of Bengali literature. Tagore's literary universe often probed the person, society, nationalism, spirituality and human freedom. Chaudhuri, in contrast, often used irony, reasonable scepticism and conversational essay writing to explore social and philosophical questions. But their bond was nevertheless mutually significant. Chaudhuri's affiliation with Sabuj Patra and his work in Bengali prose had an impact on the wider literary context where the Tagorean influence was being moulded by the rising modernist impulses. Part of Chaudhuri's achievement is that he has shown that Bengali literary modernity might take forms other than lyrical romanticism. The essay, satire, wit, and conversational language can all be used as techniques to promote present consciousness. A modern scholarly reading need not make a simple conservative or a clear progressive out of Chaudhuri. More productively, his work could be read as a complicated struggle with the possibilities and limits of his historical period.

14. Chaudhuri and Rabindranath Tagore

Rabindranath Tagore is inextricably linked with the literary dimension of Pramatha Chaudhuri. Despite their academic interests and approaches were different, both authors made great contribution in growth of Bengali literature. The individual, society, nationalism, spirituality and human freedom were the subjects of Tagore's literary works. Chaudhuri, in contrast, often used the conversational essay, reasonable doubt and irony to explore philosophical and social themes. But their relationship was affecting one another. Chaudhuri's connection with Sabuj Patra and his rich Bengali writing contributed considerably to the larger literary scene where Tagorean influence was being shaped by the rising modernist impulses. One of the things Chaudhuri has done is to demonstrate that Bengali literary modernity can have other forms beyond lyrical romanticism. The essay, satire, wit and conversational discourse can all be employed as instruments to advance contemporary consciousness.

15. Critical Assessment of Birbaler Halkhata

It is important to look Birbaler Halkhata in terms of strengths and weaknesses. But its most important achievement is the combination of intellectual critique and comedy. Chaudhuri shows that it is not required to speak of important societal observations in a solemn tone. Wit may be a mental workout. And mirth is required. The work is also important for its contribution to the development of a writing style that is able to capture the current Bengali intellect. Their academic complexity is not lost to make them more approachable because of their conversational features. Another important contribution is the emphasis on scepticism. Chaudhuri always asks his readers to evaluate their beliefs, rather than accepting them unquestioningly. This goal is at the core of the idea of contemporary intellectual citizenship. There are also limits to satire. Depending on how the reader takes the irony, the author may seem harsh or not so harsh in his judgement. Satire can also be elitist if the intellectual position of the writer is too far removed from the social

experience of common life. These conflicts render Birbaler Halkhata far more interesting as a scholarly book. It should not be considered a list of unquestionable facts, but as a living participation in the intellectual controversies of the time.

16. Relevance to Contemporary Literary Studies

One of the reasons Chaudhuri's literature remains relevant is the permanence of the concerns he addressed. Modern civilisations continue to grapple with contradictions between tradition and modernity, individual freedom and societal conformity, knowledge and misinformation, education and credentialism, authentic intellectualism and intellectual performance. Satire is a powerful literary form because it can expose these paradoxes without making them into straightforward proposals. Chaudhuri's work is thus relevant to contemporary concerns of intellectual history, language, discourse and literary modernity. His support of natural prose also leads us to rethink the relationship between language and accessibility. The distinction between real intellectual engagement and shallow performance has never mattered more than in this age of fast communication and digital media. Chaudhuri's defence of impartiality offers an important historical context to this question. His work may also contribute to comparative studies of satire. Chaudhuri demonstrates that satire becomes more culturally and linguistically specific than writers like Jonathan Swift, George Orwell or other satirists.

17. Conclusion

Birbaler Halkhata by Pramatha Chaudhuri is one of the landmark texts in the history of modern Bengali literature for raising satire to the level of an intellectual instrument. Chaudhuri questions accepted attitudes through the literary persona of Birbal, urges readers to acquire independent judgement and explores the inconsistencies of colonial Bengali society. His sarcasm is more than only entertaining. It is a kind of cultural critique. By exposing hypocrisy, mimicry, intellectual laziness, and an over-attachment to convention, Chaudhuri challenges the audience to reconsider the basic assumptions underlying everyday social life. His literary project is essentially based on a free intellectual interpretation of modernity. Chaudhuri does not conceive of modernity as simple negation of tradition or replication of Western culture. It is the ability to critically analyse both tradition and modernity. Today's man is capable of thinking for himself instead of accepting inherited or fashionable beliefs.

This intellectual activity cannot be separated from his language contribution. The use and propagation of Chalita Bhasha helped build a more conversational, flexible and modern responsive writing in Bengali. Language was turned into an instrument for literary invention and for intellectual democratization. Therefore, Birbaler Halkhata should be recognized as a significant contribution to the intellectual history of Bengal rather than simply a collection of amusing articles. Its writing is an example of the promise of a new literary consciousness, and its satire is a chronicle of the concerns and paradoxes of a culture caught in the throes of colonial modernity. What makes Chaudhuri important in the end is his ability to combine fun with thought. He does not want readers only to laugh at society. Instead he urges them to ask why society does what it does – and more crucially perhaps, to ask questions of their own preconceptions. The Birbaler Halkhata significantly contributes to the development of contemporary Bengali thought, wherein skepticism, individualism, linguistic liberty, and critical introspection are fundamental elements of literary modernism.

Article Publication Details

This article is published in the **Globenix Multidisciplinary Journal**, ISSN 3139-0870 (Online). In Volume 2, Issue 4 (July - August) – 2026. The journal is published and managed by **Globenix Publisher**.

Copyright © 2026, Authors retain copyright. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any

medium, provided the original work is properly cited. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> (CC BY 4.0 deed)

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the editors and the reviewers for their valuable suggestions on this paper.

Funding

The authors declare that no funding was received for this work.

References

1. Bandyopadhyay, S. (1999) *From Plassey to Partition: A History of Modern India*. New Delhi: Orient Longman.
2. Chakrabarty, D. (2000) *Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
3. Chatterjee, P. (1986) *Nationalist Thought and the Colonial World: A Derivative Discourse?* London: Zed Books.
4. Chaudhuri, P. (1914) *Sabuj Patra*. Calcutta: Sabuj Patra Press.
5. Chaudhuri, P. (1920) *Birbaler Halkhata*. Calcutta: Gurudas Chattopadhyay & Sons.
6. Chaudhuri, P. (1926) *Nana Katha*. Calcutta: Gurudas Chattopadhyay & Sons.
7. Chaudhuri, P. (1931) *Amader Shiksha*. Calcutta: Gurudas Chattopadhyay & Sons.
8. Chaudhuri, P. (1940) *Birbaler Tippani*. Calcutta: Gurudas Chattopadhyay & Sons.
9. Das, S.K. (1995) *A History of Indian Literature: 1911–1956: Struggle for Freedom—Triumph and Tragedy*. New Delhi: Sahitya Akademi.
10. Eliot, T.S. (1921) 'The Metaphysical Poets', *Selected Essays*. London: Faber and Faber.
11. Ghosh, A. (2004) *Modernity in Indian Literature*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
12. Guha-Thakurta, T. (1992) *The Making of a New "Indian" Art: Artists, Aesthetics and Nationalism in Bengal, c. 1850–1920*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
13. Haq, K. (2000) *The Great Indian Middle Class*. New Delhi: Penguin.
14. Kaviraj, S. (2005) *The Imaginary Institution of India: Politics and Ideas*. New York: Columbia University Press.
15. Mukherjee, H. (1977) *The Renaissance in Bengal: A Study of Social and Cultural Change*. New Delhi: Arnold-Heinemann.
16. Mukherjee, M. (1994) *Realism and Reality: The Novel and Society in India*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
17. Ray, B. (1995) *The Freedom Movement and Indian Literature*. Calcutta: Academic Press.
18. Sen, S. (1978) *History of Bengali Literature*. New Delhi: Sahitya Akademi.
19. Sen, S. (2001) *Colonial Modernity: The Cultural Roots of Indian Modernism*. New Delhi: Routledge.
20. Sengupta, S. (2003) *Bengali Literature: An Introduction*. New Delhi: National Book Trust.
21. Tagore, R. (1917) *Nationalism*. London: Macmillan.
22. Tagore, R. (1930) *The Religion of Man*. London: George Allen & Unwin.
23. Thompson, E. (1948) *Rabindranath Tagore: Poet and Dramatist*. London: Oxford University Press.
24. Williams, R. (1977) *Marxism and Literature*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

GLOBENIX PUBLISHER remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of GLOBENIX PUBLISHER and/or the editor(s). GLOBENIX PUBLISHER disclaims responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.